

Free Scientologists
Dipl.-Ing. Andreas Gross, Switzerland
FS Bulletin of January 18, 2002RB

(revised and published as FSB on July 19, 2005, revised again on November 5, 2013 and February 17, 2015)

Remimeo

Rehab Ron's Ethics Series No. 6
Alter-Is of Clearing and OT-Series No. 5
Dianetics Auditor Rehabilitation Series No. 5
Scientology Auditor Rehabilitation Series No. 3
Scientology Engram Prior Beginning Series No. 1

BRIDGE CHANGES SINCE 1972

Summary

The Free Scientologists work according to the original bridge that was published in June 1970 and is available today.¹ Later editions have been squirreled and were never approved by Ron. You can definitely have a win on these squirrel bridges but, in the long run, they will lead the PC into perdition and not onto a new level of existence.

Content

| | |
|---|----|
| Summary..... | 1 |
| History of the bridge..... | 2 |
| References..... | 3 |
| The bridge comparison..... | 3 |
| The Training Side..... | 5 |
| The intro lines onto the bridge were fixed of their substance..... | 5 |
| Academic requirements are becoming longer and steeper..... | 7 |
| Auditor Classes for OT levels strongly cut back..... | 13 |
| OT-Levels eliminated..... | 17 |
| The Auditing Side..... | 20 |
| The entry route for new people has been removed altogether..... | 20 |
| Dianetics has been pushed to the back so it doesn't work anymore..... | 20 |
| The grades are made quickie again..... | 22 |
| The Clearing-Course is hardly delivered anymore..... | 24 |
| The OT levels were mostly removed in 1984..... | 25 |

¹ You can request a PDF copy of this bridge via email. Address and title "Delivery of bridge 1970 requested" is sufficient.

History of the bridge

Ron had already mentioned a bridge in the Dianetics book (Chapter 10: Dianetics – Past and Future):

„One might here use an analogy of bridge engineering. Let us suppose that two plateaus exist, one higher than the other, with a canyon between them. An engineer sees that if the canyon could be crossed by traffic, the hitherto unused higher plateau, being much more fertile and pleasant, would become the scene of a new culture. He sets himself the task of building a bridge. It has been supposed that no bridge could be built across the canyon and indeed, since those on the lower plateau could not see the higher level, the existence of the higher plateau itself was denied. The engineer, by evolving new principles of bridge building and discovering new significance in his materials, manages to throw a bridge across the canyon. He himself crosses and he inspects the plateau carefully; others cross over his bridge and examine the new terrain with delight. Still more and more cross the bridge. The bridge is solid and, if not wide, can yet safely be negotiated. It has not been built for heavy fast traffic. But it contains the basic principles and axioms by which the canyon can be spanned again and again. Many people begin to approach the canyon and look up.

What sort of an opinion would you have of the society on the lower plateau if they but moaned and wept and argued and gave no hand at all in the matter of widening the bridge or making new bridges?

In this handbook we have the basic axioms and a therapy which works.

For God's sake, get busy and build a better bridge!“²

This improved bridge as we know it today was first published by him in 1965.³ It is typical for Ron that the bridge has barely changed for over a century since first publication in 1965. LRH emphasized this in 1970⁴ and says there have only been improvements but no bridge has turned out to be wrong. After 1972, when LRH had no contact with the church anymore⁵, the bridge

² Cited after Hubbard, LaFayette Ron, 1986, "A HANDBOOK OF DIANETICS PROCEDURE - Dianetics: THE MODERN SCIENCE OF MENTAL HEALTH "

³ HCO PL 5.5.65 CLASSIFICATION, GRADATION AND AWARENESS CHART and HCOB 22.9.65 RELEASE GRADATION - NEW LEVELS OF RELEASE

⁴ s. HCO PL 12. June 1970 C/S-Serie 2: Programming of Cases:

PROGRAM Definition—A program is defined as the sequence of actions session by session to be undertaken on a case by the C/S in his directions to the auditor or auditors auditing the case.

The master program for every case is given on the Classification and Gradation Chart issued from time to time. The earliest of these Charts was 1965 followed by 1st December 1966 followed by 1st January 1968 followed by 1st December 1969. The reissues of the Chart are done to improve the communication of the data on the Chart. The program factor has not much changed since its earliest issue. Tapes about this Chart were made for the SHSBC at its first issue and of course remain valid. The processes called for on the Chart are all part of the SHSBC or for upper levels part of the SH and AO Confidential materials. From time to time they are reissued but they remain standard and have been so since the first issue of the Chart.

The Chart and its materials have now and again been neglected or disregarded and THE NEGLECT HAS RESULTED IN FIELD FLAPS AND DOWN STATS.

Omitting this gradient of processes not only stalls cases but results in a case manifesting out-grade phenomena.

A pc must attain the full ability noted on the Chart before going up to the next level of the Chart.

⁵ L. Ron Hubbard was arrested on his arrival in New York on December 3, 1972, on the basis of an international arrest warrant. He then indisputably disappeared for 9 months. He supposedly surfaced again after that time, although there are arguments that it might have been a doppelgänger (see http://de.freiescientologen.de/text/Gewagte_Theorie_untersucht_-_LRH_sei_durch_einen_Doppelgänger_ersetzt_worden or http://lrh-bib.org/text/Frontalangriff_auf_CST_und_RTC). In any case, there have not been any new books, no published speeches, photos or videos of him, no public appearances since. His family lost touch with him. He allegedly withdrew and hid himself increasingly more. Especially since Januar 1977, after the murder of Quentin Hubbard (Nov 1976), almost nobody had seen him and he had apparently been living in hiding since. The attorneys who are still in control of CST and the Church nowadays claim that they are authorized by Ron to represent his interests. They wrote the will that led to the full disempowerment of the Hubbard family and re-organization of the Church under command of

was suddenly and drastically changed⁶. These changes still remain in the new millenium⁷. The RTC wants to make us believe that there are still hints being found that reason a change of the bridge⁸. How stupid do they think their church members are?⁹

References

- For the bridge as of June 1970: Book "Scientology 0-8" from 1972 with the grade chart from 1970 and poster of Flag
- For the bridge as of 1976: "The bridge to a New World", poster of the Scientology Publication Org Kopenhagen
- For the bridge as of 1978: Book "What is Scientology?" (abbreviated as WIS78) page 30ff
- For the bridge as of 1998-2002: A poster as it was distributed for free in the CoS. In the last 10 years it is said that the church does not give out the poster any more. Is the Bridge replaced by "Studying the Basics"?

The bridge comparison

In the following charts I will compare the current bridge of the CoS with the original one as it was published under Ron's supervision.

The left column "1972" refers to the above-mentioned bridge of 1972. The listed numbers are printed on the left side of the poster and number the training steps from 1 to 29.

RTC and CST. Ron's Standing Order No. 1 (SO-Line 1) said that anyone could communicate with him at any time. This order has been broken since 1977 at the latest as many of Ron's friends reported. Therefore, he had not been able to control or even correct the development of the Church anymore. Ron's wife Mary Sue supposedly saw him last in 1978. His unknown, alleged place of resident was only found out in January 1986 after his death. It was quite unusual that the Coroner found 10 needle insertions in L. Ron Hubbard's buttocks and a significant amount of the psychiatric drug Vistaril. That is why I assume that L. Ron Hubbard was not able to spend the last 14 years of his life before his supposed death in 1986 in freedom. I also don't think much of the changes of his writings since 1972 because, first of all, the authenticity is questionable and, secondly, it wasn't Ron's way to revise his writings and much less so to change them to the opposite without an explanation.

⁶ HCOB December 12, 1981 THE THEORY OF THE NEW GRADE CHART. Here it is "reasoned" why Dianetics should come after the grades: So that there is a deeper gradient for Dianetics: The grades. Until 1972 L. Ron Hubbard has always emphasized why Dianetics is the lower gradient before the grades and he explicitly stigmatized a different order as an example for bad squirreling.

⁷ New versions of the bridge were published in 1986, 1991, 1995 and finally 1998 according to the editions I have. New levels were added and others removed. The start-up path has been increasingly extended: up to 6 major courses before the level-0-pack. Since 2007 there has been "yet another gradient before these major courses": The Golden Age of Knowledge on which „The Basics“ are to be studied in order to "receive a full conceptual understanding", which had actually been the EP of the removed Primary Rundown. It is completely unclear how this EP can suddenly be obtained without the Primary Rundown. But it is very clear what the reason for such an extension of 6 more years of the start-up path means (in 2013 the first graduates of this course which is barely shorter than the SHSBC will be celebrated, just without any auditing, co-auditing, simply without applications and without mass).

⁸ RTC wants to make us believe that L. Ron Hubbard worked in a very sloppy way. Rather than simply handing over a newly written manuscript for a technical edition or guideline to a Commodore's Messenger on hand so that he could take it to the HCO for immediate typewriting, he just misplaced pieces of paper here and there. Or he hid them under a carpet or behind wallpaper so that there are notes being found (in the most unbelievable places!) even years after his death, which can now be typed out and published. Thus, there are over 460 pages in the red technical Volumes that were published after his death. However, not until after a year of respective abstinence. In the meantime, while he was missing from 1980 until 1986, he had only written 720 pages of HCOBs that must have been typed and published immediately. Or was his output of manuscripts so substantial that an entire room full of typing women at the HCO was not able to keep up with his writing mania and only finished after years? - Be that as it may, I say that all publications since 1973 are quite fishy and I only accept what is in unison with earlier writings.

⁹ Whoever is not confiding enough, will simply be declared SP and be removed. Otherwise, he will "enturbulate" the other members as well. This shows: The SP-Declarers are not turned against the Declared because those will be freed of the burden of the RTC but rather against the remaining members who are kept away of the truth. Similar to the purpose of the excommunications in the Middle Ages.

The page number of the next column refers to the WIS 78. This is listed because – as compared to the bridge of 1972 – this book can easily be obtained on second-hand and offers an authentic reference for the original bridge. However, there are already several blatant deviations that should be considered: Standard Dianetics became NED in 1978 and, after OT III, "NED for OTs" was introduced as an additional level.

The change of title of the grade chart alone speaks volumes:

1970: **Classification Gradation and Awareness Chart of Levels and Certificates: For Dianetic and Scientology Training AND For Dianetic and Scientology Processing**

2002: The Bridge to Total Freedom. Scientology Classification Gradation and Awareness Chart of Levels and Certificates: Training AND Processing

More on that in my FSB February 19, 2005R BRIDGE TO TOTAL FREEDOM – A NO-GAME CONDITION

The Training Side

| No | Page | Bridge 1972 | Bridge Today |
|--|------|---|---|
| The intro lines onto the bridge were fixed of their substance | | | |
| 1 | 30f | Free introductory lecture | This is missing in the bridge. There are still introductory lectures in the CoS but once it has been removed from the bridge, it's no surprise if they are also taken out of the regular services from the Orgs. |
| 2 | 30f | HAS-Course Ron calls it the backbone of Scientology, the most important act of distribution. That is why I give many references at this point. ¹⁰ | Later on, the Co-Audit had been removed so that only the TRs remained. It was then called the "Comm-Course". By now, the abbreviation has also been changed to: STCC for "Success through Communication Course". That way newcomers miss out on the valuable but free Co-Audit which offered important case gains for the price of the introductory course. |
| 3 | 30f | Course on the anatomy of the human senses. ¹¹ | According to the bridge ('86, '91, '95 and '98), it still exists but as FSM in the HMB Org I cannot remember that it was ever offered. Is there even any course material for it??? |

¹⁰ see, for example, the following references which once established the HAS Co-Audit and the Comm-Course. It is interesting that these references are still found in the red Tech Volumes but not in the 1991 index edition when you look for HAS Co-Audit, Co-Audit or HAS-Comm-Course or similar keywords. This was still existing in the index editions of the old red Volumes. This most important introductory line into Scientology is simply being suppressed:

- HCO B 24 MARCH 1959 **HAS CO-AUDIT** and
- HCO B 25 MARCH 1959 **HAS CO-AUDIT & COMM COURSE** and
- HCO BULLETIN OF 3 APRIL 1959 **HAS CO-AUDIT AND COMM COURSE** and
- HCO B 19 January 1961 "**Additional HAS Processes**" the used processes on the HAS Co-Audit..
- 5907C04 TCC-3 HAS Co-audit and
- 5907C05 TCC-6 How to Conduct a HAS Co-audit and Why and
- also the other **THETA CLEAR CONGRESS LECTURES** Washington, D.C. 4.-6. July 1959
- HCO B 21 JULY 1959 **HGC ALLOWED PROCESSES** "From where could you communicate to a (ill body part named)?".
- HCO B 19 AUGUST 1959 **HAS CO-AUDIT—FINDING TERMINALS**
- HCO B 27 AUGUST 1959 **GROWTH WITH COMPETENCE** "The HAS Co-Audit course taught in Washington, D.C., by Nibs, Dick, Jan and Nina West has made Mest Clears using only muzzled co-auditing. So it is working for the many in the hands of the relatively unskilled group coauditor."
- HCO B 25 SEPTEMBER 1959 **HAS CO-AUDIT**
- HCO B 29 SEPTEMBER 1959 **THE ORGANIZATION OF A PE FOUNDATION**
- HCO B 15 DECEMBER 1959 **HAS CO-AUDIT**

¹¹ According to HCO Info Letter 2.9.64 „Anatomy of the Human Mind Course“

| No | Page | Bridge 1972 | Bridge Today |
|----|------|--|---|
| 4 | 30f | Book auditor HBA ¹² | This level has long been absent from the bridge. Therefore, it does not seem to be a challenge for most Scientologists to audit and have their class attested on the basis of books. Thus, a really important delivery and introductory line of the Church was lost. Also see my comment about the Dianetics Book Auditor at NED. |
| 5 | 32f | Hubbard Extension Course | This level was lost from the bridge. But it still exists as a service in the Org. |
| 6 | 32f | HQS-Course The original reference of 1964 names the cause which has been lost today. ¹³ Processes taught: Co-Auditing using any approved Co-Audit Processes of Scientology. ¹⁴ And that is a lot. | This name is still listed on the bridge: But once you compare the current checksheet with the one from the 70s (or even 80s), you will see that the main purpose of this course have been destroyed: The students co-audited at least the Objective Processes and ARC-Straightwire (Self-analysis lists) and, thus, gained two grades on the right side of the bridge. This is missing nowadays. And therefore, the product of the HQS-Course is missing: <i>A Scientologist who is able to audit.</i> The End Result of the old HQS: " Personal case improvement in oneself and ability to handle others with group processing. " ¹⁵ The End Result of the new HQS is only: " Increased ability to sort out situations and people in life. " The extensive Co-Auditing has been lost – a completely theoretical course today! |

¹²The book auditor is described in the Ability Issue 50 of July 1957, which is printed in the Tech Volume IV, page 111ff. The reader who audits by auto dictation and is certified and recognized that way. This important introductory line of the Church is hereby getting lost.

¹³HCO B 23 AUGUST AD14 HQS COURSE: "**PURPOSE OF THE HQS COURSE: Personal gain to be expected: to be able to study and learn. Auditing skills to be acquired: (a) To be able to run the CCHs on a pc without ARC Breaking the pc and to achieve case gain. (b) To be able to sit down as an auditor and run a session on repetitive commands on a pc with gain.**"

¹⁴ See the column "Processes taught" for the HQS-Course in the bridge of 1970.

¹⁵ See the column "End Result" for the HQS-Course in the bridge of 1970.

| No | Page | Bridge 1972 | Bridge Today | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Academic requirements are becoming longer and steeper | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | - | M1-Co-Auditing didn't exist as a separate course on the bridge before. M1 was audited as Cl.3. | <p>M1-Co-Auditing. New on the bridge since 1990. Apparently, LRH is still publishing further parts of the bridge out of his grave – which is a total contradiction to HCO B September 6, 1971 W/C Series 21 "Correct Sequence – Qualifications of Word Clearers". At the end of this HCOB LRH writes: <i>"(A Class III Academy Auditor qualification is required to do Method No. 1 as the action requires assessing and the handling of ARC Breaks, problems and withholds, for which a Class III is trained. Anyone who is able to handle a meter is qualified to do Method No. 2. Any person can do Method No. 3.)"</i></p> <p>So it is out tech and not just a skipped gradient. You expect from a newcomer that he co-audits M1 with assessment! No wonder so few auditors are being trained. The gradient is too steep! At the same time, the start-up path is too long.</p> | | | | | | | | |
| | 32f | In 1971, Ron added the Student Hat to the bridge . ¹⁶ | <p>The Student Hat and the Primary RD were temporarily replaced by the BASIC STUDY MANUAL¹⁷. Today the student hat is back. It still exists, however, only after an M1-Co-Audit-Course which most people fail and blow. So they don't even reach the Student Hat. Once every few years, the amount of LRH-Study-Lectures on the STH checksheet gets changed. Most of the Golden-Age-of-Tech-Drills contradict basic LRH study tech. A lot of people know this but no one dares to criticize it. The following 8 LRH lectures about study tech¹⁸ have not been made available for decades¹⁹:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">7203C22 A 'Why'</td> <td style="width: 50%;">7203C28 Study and Student Hat</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7203C23 Course Supervision</td> <td>7203C29 Study 'Why'</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7203C27 Supervision – Study</td> <td>7204C06 The Primary Rundown</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7203C28 Training Program</td> <td>7206C14 Superliteracy</td> </tr> </table> | 7203C22 A 'Why' | 7203C28 Study and Student Hat | 7203C23 Course Supervision | 7203C29 Study 'Why' | 7203C27 Supervision – Study | 7204C06 The Primary Rundown | 7203C28 Training Program | 7206C14 Superliteracy |
| 7203C22 A 'Why' | 7203C28 Study and Student Hat | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7203C23 Course Supervision | 7203C29 Study 'Why' | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7203C27 Supervision – Study | 7204C06 The Primary Rundown | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7203C28 Training Program | 7206C14 Superliteracy | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | Hubbard Consultant (HC) | Another Co-Auditing-Course that has simply been removed: too many case gains for Scientology newcomers. | | | | | | | | |

¹⁶ HCO PL 21 Mar 71 Student Hat and HCO PL 3 May 71 Student Hat checksheet and HCO PL 5 Feb 71 Students Hat Revised, quoted after http://www.wiseoldgoat.com/papers-scientology/hubbard_vs_nwo2_prd.html

¹⁷ Also in the bridge of 1974 like here in 1976: BSM instead of Student Hat.

¹⁸ List according to "What is Scientology" from 1978

¹⁹ I can not believe that L. Ron Hubbard would have tolerated that, if he had any control on the church. So this goes back to 1972!

| No | Page | Bridge 1972 | Bridge Today |
|----|------|---|--|
| 8 | 32f | Hubbard Standard Dianetics Course HSDC or HDC. Its only requirement used to be BSM! | <p>This turned into the HNEDA- Course (Hubbard New Era Dianetics Auditor) which is nowadays praised as <i>Class V</i>. This hides that the old Class V is missing (see there). 9 major courses or ca. 10 years of studying (without counting in the yearslong study of „The Basics“) are between the Student Hat and Dianetics! Far less than 1% of those that enter SCN reach this point. But it is Dianetics especially that gives you as a student certainty of and cause over the reactive mind²⁰. The Dianetics auditor can heal all psychosomatic illnesses and even alcoholism or drug addictions in his fellow human beings. The Hamburg Org has only brought 3 Publics through the Internship in the 90s: One of them was declared SP, one stayed inactive and does not audit and the third one I have lost sight of.</p> <p>In 1975, there was even a book "Dianetics Today" with which you were able to learn the R3R procedure as a book auditor without the course. All texts that otherwise would have been on the Dianetics course were available. This book was taken out of use due to a relatively small revision of R3R to R3RA (NED) and has not been republished by the church in 4 decades: That would be even better if a book auditor who was not in control by the Church used Dianetics successfully. Ron's opinion about Scientology auditor in comparison to Dianetics that the grade processes run too quickly so that the auditor has no chance to learn auditing. He can do that best with Dianetics where the handling of the Track is studied realistically and easily²¹.</p> |

²⁰ In HCOB Nov. 15, 1969 I CASE SUPERVISION - AUDITING AND RESULTS Ron explains why the student has to be trained in Dianetics **before** the academic training:

"... the Standard Dianetic auditing is so simple THAT IT DEMONSTRATES CLEANLY WHETHER THE PERSON CAN AUDIT OR NOT.

This is not true of Scientology auditing particularly VI, VII and VIII. Here the procedure is more complex. The errors of the auditor are obscured in the possibility of a wrong C/S or a complex pc. Thus whether the auditor can audit or not, just as an auditor, is obscured.

Thus, with the auditor as a variable factor, the tech can look variable.

Therefore you can lay down this rule as truth and it will be truth until the end of time:

If a IV, V, VI, VII or VIII cannot produce invariably excellent results his basic auditing is deficient but obscured by the complexity of material.

Therefore it is vital that an auditor be a proven result-getting Standard Dianetics auditor before any result can be expected of him in his/her Scientology auditing."

²¹ ibidem

| No | Page | Bridge 1972 | Bridge Today |
|----|------|---|--|
| 8 | 34f | HDC – Case Supervisor Course | HDC does not exist on the bridge anymore!!! This documents the general dismantling of Dianetics. Dianetics use their own C/S tech which differs from the Scientology C/S tech. By removing this course, Dianetics is also indirectly removed because auditors are not supposed to audit with C/S-ing. |
| 9 | | Hubbard Dianetics Graduate (HDG) | Hubbard Class V Graduate Auditor (HCVGA) |
| 9 | | Hubbard Dianetics Graduate C/S | The C/S course for HDG. Now missing. |
| 9a | | In August 1972, the bridge was supplemented with the Primary Rundown (PRD) ²² : „Primary Rundown or Primary Correction Rundown are required for Levels 0 to IV or above and for FEBC. They are not required for HSDC or the many other courses below these levels.” LRH in <i>HCOB</i> 13 Aug 72 Fast Flow Training | At some point between 1974 and 1986 the PRD was secretly removed by the CoS. It wasn't even mentioned on the bridge of 1974, just like the Student Hat which was at the time replaced by the BSM. And the end phenomen delivered by the PRD was reason enough for the takeover team to quickly remove it again: “The Primary Rundown consists of word clearing, a technique for locating and handling every misunderstood word the person has ever had, and study technology. It makes a student super-literate. Being a super-literate is like hearing and seeing and reading for the first time. Reading a text or instruction or book is comfortable. One has it in conceptual form. One can apply the material learned. It is a new state.” P. 210 of the book "What is Scientology" from 1978 |
| 10 | 34f | Class 0 Auditor HRS Only requirement: Student Hat but not the Dianetics course. (So there are 2 training routes that merge again only at class VIII: the Scientology & the Dianetics route) | Class 0 still exists ;-) However, the amount of requirements gets increased every few years: 1986: ProTR: M1-Co-Audit, 1991: Upper-Indoc, 1999: Pro-E-Meter, The tactic is to first introduce a newly found course to the Orgs and sell it to the new students at the academy before it even shows up on the bridge. Then -after a few years- the course finally shows up on the bridge as well. This is how, nowadays, the minister course is put in before class 0. I'm excited to see how many more major courses they will want to add before class 0 within the next 10 years... I wrote this in 2002, now there is also "The Basics", on which you can easily spend a decade without auditing. Is no one noticing this? |

²²See the well worth to read history about the PRD here: http://www.wiseoldgoat.com/papers-scientology/hubbard_vs_nwo2_prd.html

| No | Page | Bridge 1972 | Bridge Today |
|----|------|---|--|
| 10 | | Class 0 C/S Since you also do the C/S-Course after Class 0, the Org has enough C/S's for the academy and the student intensifies his knowledge about the grade from the C/S perspective. | A Class 0 C/S course does not exist anymore today. "It is not needed anyway" because the students from the Class 0 Course don't get the Twin through the whole grade anymore anyway. So the org has no need for such C/Ses as not much auditing is happening in the academy. |
| 11 | | Class I HTS | The auditing styles of the separate classes (listening style, muzzle style etc.) are still noted in the current bridge, just like before, however, according to the checksheets of the academy, those styles and their respective training gradients are hardly found anymore: Instead, you have to bring in the Ruds in Class 0 already: with Assessment by ARCU and CDEI, which is actually material from Class III. Also Metering was not part of the original Class 0 and 1 ²³ , today the Pro-Metering-Course is a prerequisite. The student is completely overwhelmed by this steep gradient. |
| 11 | | Class I C/S | A Class I C/S course does not exist anymore today. "It is not needed anyway" because the students from the Class I Course don't get the Twin through the whole grade anymore anyway. So the org has no need for such C/Ses as not much auditing is happening in the academy. |
| 12 | 34f | Class II HCA | Although the student is introduced in such a steep manner (and at the same time with such a long start-up path!!!), he needs to demonstrate significantly less application: Instead of bringing a PC through the entire grade like in the 70s, he only has to deliver 3 well done sessions. He does not overcome his insecurities by that so he will definitely not be able to apply what he has learned after the course. But that wouldn't be what RTC wants anyway. |
| 12 | | Class II C/S | A Class II C/S course does not exist anymore today. "It is not needed anyway" because the students from the Class II Course don't get the Twin through the whole grade anymore anyway. So the org has no need for such C/Ses as not much auditing is happening in the academy. |

²³ See the original booklet "The E-Meter-Drills", there you find in the table of contents, that only from Level II on you have to do the first Meter drills.

| No | Page | Bridge 1972 | Bridge Today |
|----|------|---------------|--|
| 13 | 34f | Class III HPA | <p>Let's take a look at the final results of the classes in comparison: Previously it said, i.e. on Class III (and others respectively): “Ability to audit others to Grade III Release.” - Now it says: “The ability to audit 2WC, rehabs, auditing according to Lists and Listing & Nulling.”</p> <p>The latter will nowadays be attested by every student after the course because he will have studied and exercised those tools in theory even if he didn't have to apply any of them during his three sessions. However, this isn't a product. Parts of a whole are by no means the whole. The product is "to audit someone to grade III Release". Most people nowadays would most likely recoil from at-testing that after three sessions. So the final result on the bridge simply gets reworded.</p> |
| 13 | | Class III C/S | <p>A Class III C/S course does not exist anymore today. "It is not needed anyway" because the students from the Class III Course don't get the Twin through the whole grade anymore anyway. So the org has no need for such C/Ses as not much auditing is happening in the academy.</p> |

| No | Page | Bridge 1972 | Bridge Today |
|----|------|---|---|
| 14 | 36f | Class IV HAA | It looks the same here: HAA |
| 14 | 36f | Class IV C/S | See my remarks about Class 0 to III C/S-course, they are also valid here as the HAA-C/S-course is also missing today. Instead, there is a new level on the new bridge starting with Class IV: Class IV internship for a Gold Seal or Permanent Certificate ²⁴ . This means the regular Class IV Course ends with a provisional Certificate and such a Certificate becomes invalid after one year! This is yet another way to invalidate auditors and take them out of the chair and is one of the reasons why there is barely a trained auditor nowadays who still audits. For the Gold Seal you need to undergo a paid (by the student, without earnings) internship at the HGC in the Org while the Org receives several hundreds of Euros from its PCs. Let alone the necessary time exposure for the internship makes it impossible for working people to continue on this path. This means the Co-Auditing ends at this point for most people. In 1972, you were able to get the Gold Seal as Co-Auditor or field auditor by turning in your folder to the Org C/S. Instead of allowing the bridge to all academy students in co-auditing and creating a tight connection between theory and practice, the Co-Auditing was removed from entire grades and, instead, this Class IV internship is being offered: These few students (to my knowledge, there were only 3 Publics in this internship in the Hamburg Org in the decade of the 90ies, all others gave up long before) are used productively and audit numerous HGC-PCs rather than students like them. And "of course" they pay for this "internship". |
| 14 | 38f | Expanded Dianetics was added in 1972. Basics of Expanded Dianetics, Ex DN Set Ups, R3R with intentions and purposes, Assessments and R3R for handling of current surroundings, the past auditing, of valences, emotional stress and chronic somatics, wanted-to have-handled, hidden standards, responsibility, metalosis rundown, PTS rundown, standbys and repairs and case supervision on Ex Dn with the OCA. | The CoS now calls this the Class VA Auditor (Ex Dn Specialist) on the bridge in order to cover up the missing Class V of the power auditors. Does this auditor still get trained in the practice of today's CoS? I don't know any such auditors or PCs who received Ex Dn. Expanded Dianetics is a huge area of lost tech. |

²⁴ The concept of provisional and gold seal/permanent Certs certainly goes back to LRH. But precisely not as a separate course with mandatory internship. After proving that you can audit the learned material without mistakes, you would receive the gold seal: „The student is given a PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATE. This looks like any other certificate but is not gold sealed and has *Provisional* plainly on it. - In the case of an Auditor, an Internship or formal auditing experience is required. When actual honest evidence is presented to C&A that he has demonstrated that he can produce flubless results his Certificate is VALIDATED with a gold seal and is a permanent certificate.” HCO BULLETIN OF 13 AUGUST 1972R FAST FLOW TRAINING

| No | Page | Bridge 1972 | Bridge Today |
|--|------|--|---|
| 14 | 38f | Permanent Exp Dn | Today it's called Class VA graduates. |
| 14 | 38f | Expanded Dianetics C/S | Disappeared from the bridge Therefore, no Ex Dn can be delivered. Ha! |
| Auditor Classes for OT levels strongly cut back | | | |
| - | 36f | - | <p>Class IX: New Era Dianetics auditor for OTs (short: NOTs auditor) Only for Flag and AO staffs, only for OT III & Cl. IV and NED auditors. In 1978, Publics were still able to study this, but Co-Auditing on Audited NOTS ("New OT V" and reviews to "new OT VII") does not exist any more today! NOTs is an invention by David Mayo and is a significant squirrel attack on the tech. See my remarks in FSB March 25, 2005 HOW SQUIRREL TECH IS BEING MADE ACCEPTABLE: NOTs UNVEILED</p> <p>This NOTs auditor is now referred to as a Class IX auditor by the CoS although the requirement for Cl. IX is only a NED graduate and Class IV. With the number IX, Flag is carrying out yet another special way of fraud. It suggests a higher class than VIII because with all other levels the lower one is the requirement: When a sophisticated and well-paying Flag-PC is demanding a Class VI or VIII auditor, they will usually offer "even a Class IX for you", which, of course, is fraudulent labeling because Cl. IX most often than not has not even studied the SHSBC or Cl. VIII. Further restrictions today: Only members of Sea Org are allowed to become Cl. IX. Thus, there is no Co-Audit on the OT III repairs anymore. On one hand this means it's a big source of income for Flag and, on the other hand, there is a huge number of bugged and sick OT IIIs who can't afford it.</p> |
| 15 | 38f | Class V auditor: Hubbard Validated Auditor. Studied the SHSBC Requirements: Clear and Class IV and HSDC and M1-Aud. | This first gradient of the SHSBC with the ability to deliver all auditing of the lower grades (0 to IV) is nowadays referred to as Class VI in the CoS. By that, it is covered up that the previous Class VI used to mean a lot more. They cover up the deletion of the grade 6 materials from Class VI. ²⁵ |
| 15 | | Class V C/S | Does not exist anymore today! |

²⁵Also see my FSB of May 3, 2004R Squirrel warning at SHSBC Briefing Course

| No | Page | Bridge 1972 | Bridge Today |
|----|------|---|---|
| 16 | 40f | Class VI HSS is a SHSBC including R6EW and the Class 6 materials, R6 bank / GPMs. | Disappeared from the bridge. The teaching of the Class 6 materials has been "lost" during the renumbering! Here the purpose of the "new bridge" becomes apparent: Removal of Clear and the OT levels. |
| 16 | 40f | Class VI C/S | Disappeared from the bridge. Instead, a Cl. VI HSS Gold Seal, i.e. even the SHSBC graduates will be devalued after one year and are not allowed to audit anymore without an internship! |
| 17 | 40f | Class VII HGA | Same here. It was taught to audit Power and Power Plus. Not available to Publics anymore today so no Co-Auditing route anymore. Only for Staffs with a 5-year-contract or for Sea Org Members. |
| 17 | 40f | Class VII C/S HGA C/S | Disappeared from the bridge. All these lost C/S trainings mean a lack of C/Ss nowadays: Before, every auditor was also C/S, at least for the Class below his auditing class. The auditors are easier bossed around with the few handchoosen C/Ss today. |
| 18 | | Solo Auditor Cert Rudiments & R6EW | Cannot be found anymore on the left side of the new grade chart. Although it is an auditing training it shows up on the right side under Processing. The meaning of the loss of these levels is made clear in the FSB June 18, 2006 HOW A BLACK BRIDGE IS BUILT |
| 19 | | You become Clear on the Clearing-Course. ²⁶ | Cannot be found on the left side of the new grade chart either. The term CLEAR only shows up under Processing on the right, although there are no definitive processes assigned. A total breach of the concept of the grade chart: A condition for which there is no specific auditing. This bridge change actually avoided that Clears are created today. Instead, they let keyed-out Releases attest Clear today and send them on the Pre-OT levels where most of them encounter huge difficulties. And because the actual reaching of the level Clear – due to a lack of the Co-Auditing line – has become so expensive, every Public is happy to be offered early to attest the status Clear. ²² - If you cannot imagine that the new management has issues with the status Clear, then take a look at this list of enemies: http://www.upperbridge.org/shill.htm 97.5% of the Old St. Hill staffs – most of them Clear – have been declared SP ²⁷ ! |

²⁶See my FSB from Feb. 24, 2004R **You can only become Clear on the Clearing-Course**

²²See my FSB from Feb. 24, 2004R **You can only become Clear on the Clearing-Course**

²⁷ LRH Executive Director

| | | |
|----------------|---------------|---|
| Philip Quirino | LRH Comm | Living under guard in Reclusive retirement home |
| Pat Bloomberg | Dissem Sec | DECLARED SP (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Peter Hemery | HCO Secretary | DECLARED SP Personal Friend of LRH |
| Mike Rigby | Dir Accounts | DECLARED SP (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Ken Urquhart | LRH Pers Comm | DECLARED SP LRH Butler, LRH Pers Comm for 15 years (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Joyce Popham | LRH Pers Sec | DECLARED SP (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Len Regeness | HCO Area Sec | DECLARED SP |
| Joan McNocher | D/Guardian | DECLARED SP (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |

| No | Page | Bridge 1972 | Bridge Today |
|----|------|---|--|
| 20 | | Section I OT Can be found on the left side of the bridge as auditor's training | Is called differently today: <i>New Section I OT</i> . Probably to get the reader used to the fact that everything is new today: a new grade chart with the "new OT levels": New OT IV, V, VI & VII. There is no auditor's training entered for this either. Only on the right side of the bridge there is Auditing on OT 1. |

| | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Dalene Regenass | Org E.S | DECLARED SP | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Robin Hancocks | Deputy HCO Executive Sec | DECLARED SP | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Frank Freedman | D/Qual | DECLARED SP Clear #127 Class VIII | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Betty James Ad | Council Chairman | DECLARED SP | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| John McMaster | SHSBC Course Supervisor | DECLARED SP FIRST CLEAR | |
| Otto Roos | Ad Council | DECLARED SP Clear #25 | One of the original LRH trained Class XII (completed A-E of a prior Declare. But Re- |
| | | | Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Pam Pearcy | Ad Council | DECLARED SP Clear #211 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Reg Sharpe | LRH Assistant | DECLARED SP Clear #7 | Personal Friend of LRH |
| Leon Steinberg | Exec Council | DECLARED SP Clear #10 | Personal Friend of LRH One of the original LRH trained Class XII (Declared by |
| | | | Current Church Leadership) |
| J.J Delance | Technical Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #17 | Started Scn in France (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Tony Dunleavy | Clearing Course Supervisor | DECLARED SP Clear #20 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Connie Broadbent | Dir Accounts | DECLARED SP Clear #29 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Craig Lipsitz | Qual Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #30 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Marilynn Routsong | HCO Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #31 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Brian Livingston | Tech Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #35 | One of the original LRH trained Class XII (Declared by Current Church Leader- |
| | | | ship) |
| Herbie Parkhouse | Org Exec Sec | DECLARED SP Clear #55 | Personal Friend of LRH Org Exec Sec (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Anton James | Tech Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #53 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Jenny Parkhouse | Treasury Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #54 | Personal Friend of LRH (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Virginia Downsborough | Tech Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #39 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Van Staden | Treasury Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #40 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Sheena Fairchild | Tech Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #41 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Jennifer Edmonds | Tech Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #15 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Bernie Green | Tech Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #18 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Gareth McCoy | Dissem Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #21 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Dalene Regenass | Tech Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #24 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Felice Green | Tech Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #26 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| John Lawrence | Tech Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #28 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Peggy Bankston | Tech Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #34 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Helen Pollen | Qual Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #47 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Fred Fairchild | Tech Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #49 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Dorothy Knight | Dissem Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #50 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Judy Gray | Tech Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #56 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Cal Wigney | Div 6 Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #57 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Mary Long | Div 6 Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #58 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Bill Robertson | Tech Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #61 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Linda Nussbaum | Exec Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #62 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Robin Lindsell | Tech Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #73 | Class XII (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Jenny Parkhouse | Saint Hill Staff | DECLARED SP Clear #54 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Val Wigney | Saint Hill Interne | DECLARED SP Clear #87 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Edith Hoyseth | Saint Hill Interne | DECLARED SP Clear #105 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Roger Biddell | Saint Hill Interne | DECLARED SP Clear #107 | (Declared by Current Church Leadership) |
| Cyril Vosper | Tech Staff | DECLARED SP | |

| No | Page | Bridge 1972 | Bridge Today |
|----|------|---|--|
| 21 | 42f | Class VIII HTSS Here the Scientology and Dianetics routes come together mandatory for the first time: Requirements: CI IV, HDG, OT II and started on OT III | Class VIII ²⁸ - Here the interesting change of the final result: 1970: "Ability to handle all cases to 100% result, specializes in OT section repairs." 2002: "Exact handling of all cases up to 100% standard results, Class VIII procedures, processes and correctional activities." Are you still allowed today to study the Class VIII as a Public and audit the expensive OT III repairs? The specialization on OT section repairs got lost! - actually the number of Class VIII completions today went down to nearly 1% of the numbers in the 1960ies and beginning 1970ies ²⁹ . |
| 21 | 42f | Class VIII C/S | Disappeared from the bridge, instead, Class VIII Gold Seal – i.e. another years long internship which is hardly attainable for a Public. Thus the cancellation of the Cl. VIII Certs follows after one year. |

²⁸An extensive essay about the suppression of the Class VIII auditor's training can be found in the FSB 20070824 Suppression of Class VIII - Symptom of Governmental Control

²⁹ See detailed stats on the lack of Auditors made in CoS today here: <http://www.friendsoflrh.org/Section2/>

| No | Page | Bridge 1972 | Bridge Today |
|-----------------------------|------|----------------|--|
| OT-Levels eliminated | | | |
| 22 | | Section III OT | Even though OT III is basically an auditor's training for the fire wall, OT III only appears on the right side of the bridge on the Processing side today. Substantial changes on OT III today: Milazzo without Dianetics today and, thus, it is impossible for OT IIIs to reach the EP of the level. As a logical result, the EP itself has been removed from the material and redefined. The NOTS material was written by the Squirrel David Mayo ³⁰ in 1979 – indisputably not so by LRH – and it exclusively aims for somehow getting the missing EP from OT III right. |
| 23 | | Section IV OT | These real OT levels IV to VII according to the so-called interference zone (Clearing-Course to OT III graduation, a Pre-OT level!) are refused in the CoS and the RONS Org nowadays. |
| 24 | | Section V OT | |
| 25 | | Section VI OT | |
| 26 | | Section VII OT | After all, this is the main reason for the takeover of Scientology: The intelligence services, that would like to continue to manipulate in the dark unwatched, demand that no Clears or OTs are allowed to be done ³¹ , they fear to get their operations unveiled and published and destroyed by OTs. - The removal of the OT-levels has been covered up by artificially splitting up the original NOTs run-downs invented by the Squirrel David Mayo in 1979 and renaming those in 1982 to <i>New OT IV, New OT V, New OT VI and New OT VII</i> . |

³⁰Proof for this in FSB August 8, 2006 **The mean role of David Mayo**

³¹ The detailed proof for this can be found on this article by some Guardian Office staff: **Remote Viewing Timeline**. This important Exposure was once lost in webspace but was then saved and mirrored by the Free Scientologists here: <http://sc-i-r-s-ology.freiescientologen.de/rvtimeline/index.html>

| No | Page | Bridge 1972 | Bridge Today |
|----|------|--|--|
| 27 | | Section VIII OT (only announced by LRH but not published) | The CoS obviously has no edition of Ron's OT VIII, instead they experiment with different invented versions. One of those was solo-auditing on the book "Handbook for Pre-Clears". This version offered the best wins, however, it was difficult to bring across that these intro processes were supposed to be the culmination of the OT levels for the book auditors. With a different version, the graduates died like flies. Who knows what they're doing there today? More on this: see my entry to OT VIII on the processing page on page 27. |
| 23 | 42f | Class IX Auditoren For advanced procedures and special rundowns | Class IX did not exist in 1972. It first shows up on Flags Grade Chart of January 1975. The prerequisites are marked as "HSTS prov.", which is the Class VIII Auditor, as one could expect. The processes where "Advanced procedures and developments since class VIII". LRH always was very specific in listing the processes and materials for each level as this was the main purpose of the Grade Chart to name that so that nothing can get lost. But not here: very unusual and already a hint to the fact, that the introduction of this level was not done by LRH. - Class IX was then dramatically changed on the Bridge of 1986, where the prerequisites where heavily reduced to "Class IV, OT III, Pro TRs, M1 and Sea Org contract in FSO or an AO". So the current Class IX is nothing but a revamped Class IV without even a briefing course and no Class VIII lectures to cheat the Flag publics. See my remarks on this on page 13. What special rundowns and advanced processes were those in the 70s? Are those even still delivered today or did they get lost? |
| 25 | 44f | Class X Auditoren additionally audits L-10 | Class X, XI and XII were introduced in 1975 and only available for Sea Org Members and should deliver the L-10, L-11 and L-12. This still exists today. Today Class VIII is the prerequisite, SO at Flag and OT III, i.e. the old Class IX material seems to be lost. Only for Sea Org staff in Flag (this requirement did not exist in the 1970ies). |
| 26 | 44f | Permanent Class X Auditor | Still exists |
| 27 | 44f | Class XI | Still exists - additionally audits L-11 |
| 27 | 44f | Permanent Class XI | Still exists |
| 28 | 46f | Class XII additionally audits L-12 | Still exists, however, "In its 52 years history barely over 50 have been made." ³² and 2/3 of them are not on post any more, most of them SP-declared! ³³ |

³² Quote of this web page: <http://pierreethier.wordpress.com/pierre-ethier-class-xii/a-class-xii-wedding-scientology-marriage-ceremony/>

³³ See my evaluation here: *FSB 20040809 Die drei Generationen von Class XIIs zeigen den Zeitpunkt der technischen Übernahme.pdf*

| No | Page | Bridge 1972 | Bridge Today |
|----|------|-----------------------------|---|
| 29 | 46f | Permanent Class XII Auditor | In theory, this still exists, however, there are only a total of 54 Class XII's of which only 20 are still on tech lines. Every third person has been declared SP which already says enough by itself and shows that the real SP's must be in management: 18 declared Class XII's of which basically all were trained by Ron himself! Of course, these highly-trained people are in the way of change of the tech to ineffectiveness. But how can anyone justify that 18 beings – Clear, OT and highly-trained auditors – SP's are supposed to be without any case gains??! ³⁴ |

³⁴All information from this web page: <http://www.upperbridge.org/>

The Auditing Side

Ron writes: **"The Grade Chart is the basic programme of a PC."** (HCOB 11.8.74)

| No | WIS | 1970 | Today |
|---|-----|--|--|
| The entry route for new people has been removed altogether | | | |
| 1 | 56f | Group Processing delivered by HQS Course graduates or higher ones | Is missing on the bridge today, just like the Co-Auditing of HAS. Instead, a purification programme has been introduced for everyone, whether druggie or not. It's questionable whether or not everyone needs this. Because alcohol (the people's drug) or cannabis does not get stored in fat tissue. |
| 2 | 56f | Life Repair by Class 0 auditors | Is missing on the bridge today. I almost couldn't believe it but Life Repair is not there anymore! |
| - | 56f | - | Today "TR's and Objectives" as their own bridge level. Before it just used to be a part of the Drug RD. |
| Dianetics has been pushed to the back so it doesn't work anymore | | | |
| 3 | 56f | Drug Rundown TRS 0-4 AND 6-9, COMPLETE C/S 1, SELF-ANALYSIS LISTS, OBJECTIVE PROCESSES, CLASS VIII DRUG HANDLING, AE- SPS FOR EVERY SHOWING DRUG, "NO-INTEREST" – ITEMS, PRIOR AS- SESSMENT. | Instead of the three Dianetics levels, there are only the Scientology levels left. That's bad because a drug handling that actually goes deep to the roots is missing now (basics of the Dianetics chains). By this the Release states of the Scn Processes can be lost again. For example, when you do drink again due to "social reasons". Since nowadays almost 99% of Germans consume alcohol regularly and this is not handled with the new PCs, the PCs also will keep drinking "socially" and stay bugged on this level. Alcohol is one source of the 7 resistant cases! Furthermore, the EP has been changed: 1975-1980: "Freedom from harmful effects of drugs, alcohol and medicine and free from need to take them." Since 1982 replaced by Scientology Drug Rundown, which only keys out the engram chains with rehab tech: thus a "drug release", not "free from drugs". Since 1999: "Released from harmful effects of drugs, medicine or alcohol." release! Not erased! |
| 4 | | ARC Straightwire TR's 0-4 and 6-9 be- | This is missing today. There is no distinction anymore between the actual grade |

| | | | |
|---|-----|---|--|
| | | <p>long to this and 5 processes are audited:</p> <p>Basic Pr, Elementary Straightw., Not-is SW, Know-Mystery Recall, ARC-SW</p> | <p>and the advanced grade, it is just called "Advanced Grade". The actual grade is lost that way. The main process still remains on the process list but only as the last one³⁵. Barely one PC reaches this process but attests beforehand the EP of the grade. So the respective main processes of the grades are practically not audited anymore in the HGC today.</p> |
| 5 | 56f | <p>Dianetics case completion:</p> <p>EP: a well and happy human being.</p> | <p>The displacement in 1981 of Dianetics after the grades strikes me as very important: Thus, black Scientology is delivered. Because Ron emphasizes in dozens of references³⁶ over decades that Dianetics must absolutely be audited before the grades. Only with Dianetics do all attitudes, emotions, feelings and pains get tracked back to their respective Basic and erased for good and irrevocably. Afterwards on the grades, all untouched locks will also be removed.</p> <p>But if you turn the bridge around according to the wish of the new management, then all locks are keyed out so that the PC is entirely comfortable (Release) before taking on NED. Thus you will hardly find anything reading on NED that could be audited. The PC attests Clear prematurely because the achieved Release conditions could actually key out again at any time. For example, during much restimulation on the OT levels. But then it is too late and he will have difficulties to confront this material.</p> <p>I know a lot of Scientologists on the OT levels (in Church as well as outside of it) who regularly drink alcohol, "it's just socially". They have the most theta-full justifications for it. But technically they have cases which don't yet have the EP from the Dianetics Drug Rundown and simply want to obtain a Release (chemically) from the alcohol which they obviously wouldn't be able to reach with solo-auditing.</p> <p>EP 1970: "A well and happy human being"</p> |

³⁵For example, in the HCOB November 14, 1987 II Process checklist for the Advanced Grade 0 (on page 315 in level 0 pack), there the grade 0 processes will only be found starting with no. 22 on page 30 of the references!

³⁶An example for many references that Dianetics is supposed to be delivered before the grades can be found in HCO PL June 12, 1970 C/S-Series 2: Programming of Cases:

"In giving a pc process after process that are not related to each other and follow no Repair Program or Return Program is non-sequitur in the extreme.

If processes were remarks one would get a sequence of processes given the pc sounding like this. "The submarine just went by so we will order a hundred tons of bread. There wasn't any beer so birds are seldom seen. The dance was very fast so we fixed the carburetor. He has very long hair so we decorated his father's tomb."

"Give pc Scn Triples then do his Dianetics then fix up his hidden standard," would be a series of crazy non-sequitur C/Ses. Nothing is connected to or proceeds from anything. That would be a dispersed program for sure.

It actually happens horribly enough. Study a Class Chart and then look through some old folders. At once, the sequence of processes ordered sounds like "The submarine just went by so order a hundred tons of bread." and later on it says in the same HCOB "And a PC who is unflat on Dianetics will have out lower grades."

| | | | EP 1999-: "Clear. Or a well and happy preclear." - With the "or" did health drop out of the EP! And a body with problems is the perfect trap for a Thetan. |
|--|-----|---|---|
| The grades are made quickie again | | | |
| No | WIS | 1970 | Today |
| - | 58f | Advanced ARC Straightwire | <p>On paper grades still exist. Many processes of all grades nowadays are just skipped in the church as non-reading. In the Ron's Org, apparently all processes are audited, no matter whether it's with read or without³⁷. And it works.</p> <p>The Church has found a new way to quickie grades! Because these advanced grade processes are successful processes from the 1950ies of different kinds and with completely different EPs. If you only measure them by their grade-EP, it's not justified. Sometimes they are complete arbitrary allocated to one or the other grade. Therefore, it has become impossible in the CoS to obtain all available wins from these processes.</p> <p>Once you have attested "Clear" at the latest (e.g. early on the PTS-RD even before the grades), the CoS denies the further auditing on the grades despite explicit LRH references³⁸! This has been the case since 1999, by a word of power³⁹ by David Miscavige who put the Snr C/S Int Ray Mithoff in the wrong by that⁴⁰.</p> |
| 6 | 58f | Grade 0 This grade chart from 1970 lists all processes by name for every grade and LRH demands: "All Processes of the Level and no less." | |
| 6 | 58f | Advanced Grade 0 | |
| 7 | 58f | Grade 1 | |
| 7 | 58f | Advanced Grade 1 | |
| 8 | 58f | Grade 2 | |
| 8 | 58f | Advanced Grade 2 | |

³⁷See the circular mail by Max Hauri about the topic **Reading Questions** from summer 2001.

³⁸HCOB June 12, 1970 C/S-Series 2, **The Programming of Cases**, which, among others, state: **"Jumping processes on the Class Chart set the pc up to fall on his head later. An "OT VI with problems" is really just an unflat Grade I. And until Grade I is flattened to permanent Ability Attained on the Class Chart, he remains an unflat Grade I."** If it is right for an OT VI to receive the grades first then it counts even more so for Clears.

³⁹In the IGN bulletin no. 39 (Inspector General Network of the RTC) from June 6, 1999, titled "Your Progress To OT - Arbitrarities Cancelled!", Captain David Miscavige personally writes that numerous "arbitrarities" were identified during programming: *"In many instances this resulted in Clears being programed DOWN the Bridge. The fact is, Clears should be rapidly gotten UP to OT."* Then he writes: *"If you have attempted to move forward and have not made it up to OT, it is also quite possible that some steps you were previously programed for – quite lengthy in nature – are unnecessary and that you could be gotten up to OT far more rapidly than you are even imagining."* ... *"Nothing in this issue changes the lower Grade Chart. If you are not Clear, your route is through the Grades just as listed on the Grade Chart. But, there are specific rundowns LRH advised C/Ses to employ to handle any barriers to your rapid progress through the lower Grades. And if you are a stalled Clear, and you are stalled if you have not moved onto the OT levels, then the precise actions you need are those for stalled Clears and not some other lower Grade Chart action."* D.M. - who, by the way does not have any auditor's training - then criticizes the following quoted bulletin no. 152 of the Class XII Snr C/S Int and cancels it:

⁴⁰The senior C/S Int Ray Mithoff (Class XII) has published a "bulletin of the Senior Case Supervisor Int No.152" on June 20, 1994 with the title: "Regarding: Expanded Scientology Grades": He reports about stalled Clears that he found who had not received their grades. Er found that all stalled Clears did not fully receive their grades and ordered something new: **"Every Clear who has not received his grade needs them and will take a huge advantage from them. ... If a Clear has not received his grade yet but would enter a higher Org for duties, he would either receive the expanded Grades there or he could obtain the expanded Grades in his local Org if he wishes to do so."** He also points out the just (back two footnotes) quoted HCOB June 12, 1970 C/S-Series 2, **The Programming of Cases**, which agrees with the Snr C/S Int. So do we.

| No | WIS | 1970 | Today |
|----|-----|---|---|
| 9 | 60f | Grade 3 | Noone in the CoS dares to resist this highly unqualified order. Thus, the quickie grades are back and the KSW No.1 Policy has been ignored again. Scientology is yet again being destroyed because the bridge simply does not get delivered as LRH stresses in KSW No.1 |
| 9 | 60f | Advanced Grade 3 | |
| 10 | 60f | Grade 4 | |
| 10 | 60f | Advanced Grade 4 | |
| | | Confirmation of the expanded lower grades: Processes and advance program as required. | This is missing today which I think is okay because, the bridge fell into the time at which there was a switch from the grades to the advanced grades. Therefore, missing processes in some PC's had to be delivered late without sending them back on the bridge. |
| 11 | 60f | Expanded Dianetics has been added to the bridge after Grade IV by Ron in April 1972. | Loss of one part of the EP: 1974: "Freedom from cruel impulses and chronic unwanted conditions. Able to act without restraint. " 1999: "Freedom from cruel impulses and chronic unwanted conditions. You can also attain the state of Clear on Expanded Dianetics" - I, as FSM for a few hundreds of PCs, don't know anyone who would have been offered Exp. Dianetics. It has basically been removed despite it still being listed on the bridge. And the " able to act without restraint " is gone. Instead another "solution for Clear", just to avoid the clearing course. |
| 12 | 60f | Grade V Release = Power Release EP: The ability to have Power | This has been pushed onto a so-called Alternative Route. There is only a small percentage of PCs who get to experience the joys of Power or Power Plus. All these unusual changes nourish my suspicion that Power is supposed to be withheld from us. I cannot think of any Scientologists who would have received Power in the CoS. But since 1978, it's been announced that Superpower will be published soon! The Superpower building in Flag alone has been under construction for over a decade. |
| 13 | 60f | Grade VA Release = Power Plus Release | The same goes for this as what I have said before about Power. |
| | | Grade VI Release R6EW – The Whole Track | Only exists in theory today: on the "alternative route", I don't know of anyone who would have received it. There are so many Release conditions on the lower grades that one is always sufficient to "attest Clear" really quick. |
| - | - | No entry | CCRD shows up in a big way on the bridge. It was a small |

| No | WIS | 1970 | Today |
|---|-----|---|--|
| | | | <p>and short action since 1978 done by many Class IV auditors who had studied a small checksheet. It was also called DCSI: the Dianetics-Clear-Special-Intensive. The change of the 1978 DCSI to CCRD of the 80s are mainly used to cover up that it's an invention by the Squirrel Davin Mayo. Since Clear is the obvious EP of the Clearing-Course, there is no need to establish or certify a rundown for this EP. The CCRD/DSCI only covers up the removal of the Clearing-Course and serves to rehabilitate (i.e. by date and locate) Release Conditions and wrongfully call them Clears. A Key-Out (which you can rehabilitate) is not a Clear Condition that is stable and without any risk. Since 1978, a reference by David Mayo – the Squirrel and RTC founder – wants to make us believe that a Clear is at risk: a Clear is a high and stable condition and anything but "at risk". It's quite the opposite: everyone who is not Clear yet is at risk because they dramatize and somatize bank⁴¹.</p> |
| 15 | 60f | | <p>Solo Auditor: yet another auditor's training on the right side for some strange reason: This basically means a new era of Scientology is starting: Originally, every Scientologist used to be auditor and active on the left side of the bridge. The new management wants to create consumers, i.e. people that are effect rather than cause. In order to promote that, a bridge is offered that you can do quickie only on the right side: as a <i>professional PC</i>. Just like: "Immediate enlightenment including VAT."</p> |
| 16 | 62f | = | <p>Grade VI Release: This auditor's training has also been pushed onto the so-called Alternative Route "on the right". See previous entry about solo auditor. More about Whole Track Release and auditing of R6 End Words on page 23.</p> |
| <p>The Clearing-Course is hardly delivered anymore</p> | | | |
| 17 | 62f | <p>Clear Is achieved by the Clearing-Course</p> | <p>This Clearing-Course has also been pushed onto a so-called Alternative Route. See entry about Grade V Release. "Clear" may still be on the bridge today but not as a step to audit anymore but rather as a condition.</p> <p>Ron once wrote that only 2% of the PCs go Clear on Dianetics and even then would need the Clearing-Course.⁴²</p> |

⁴¹See my FSB from Feb. 24, 2004R **You can only become Clear on the Clearing-Course** and the FSB from March 2, 2004 **Clears, Clearing-Course and OT's**

⁴²*"Only about 2% go actually Clear on Dianetics. A Dianetic Clear or any other Dianetic pc now goes on up through the grades of Scientology and onto the proper Clearing Course. The Dianetic Clear of Book I was clear of somatics. The Book I definition is correct. This is the End Phenomena of Dianetics as per the Class Chart and Book I. 2%, no more, make Dianetic Clear accidentally. They still need Ex-*

| No | WIS | 1970 | Today |
|--|-----|--------|--|
| - | - | - | There has been a new Sunshine Rundown since January 1981 |
| The OT levels were mostly removed in 1984 | | | |
| - | - | - | Since Jan. 1984, there has been an OT eligibility test for the admission to the OT levels. This basically consists of Sec-Checks which force a complete submission to the management and put the Pre-OT's into a PTS condition: The bridge can only be walked upon upright and not be crawled over like a worm! |
| 18 | 62f | OT I | New OT I. The OT 1 was edited twice by LRH. Since Aug. 1985, a new (fourth!) version of OT I has been running in the CoS, which has nothing to do anymore with the 3rd version by LRH. Who thinks they have the right to do that? |
| 19 | 62f | OT II | In the RONS Org, the Clearing-Course Implants on OT II are run in a changed form before the actual OT II Implants ⁴³ . The materials of OT II were so drastically changed and done quicky that the full EP cannot be reached. The GPM's that are audited out on OT II contain basic enslavement mechanisms: Makes sense that a Scientology taken over by the enemy does not allow this to be audited: Not in the RONS nor in the CoS. |
| 20 | 62f | OT III | This still exists in significantly changed form: Dianetics have been removed so that noone can reach the original EP anymore. Instead, NOTs are offered as a replacement, which doesn't help much because it only consists of key out processes that do not really erase anything. Thus, the CoS and the RONS constantly violate the Class VIII tapes that |

panded Lower Grades, to make Scientology Clear. Becoming a Dianetic Clear does not stop them from getting Power Processing. Modern Power is to its total End Phenomena.“ (HCOB 25.6.70) Interestingly, the RONS is allowing the distribution of a PDF version of the Red Volumes with a fake date in its favor: *“Only about 25% go actually Clear on Dianetics...”* on page 98 of file **1970_71.PDF** !

⁴³Capt. Bill Robertson writes in his tech briefing no. 12 **Super Static C/S-ing** from June 16, 1986 (Caution: confidential OT data following, this text is incomprehensible for OT II untrained people): *“On OT II there are other points that have been misunderstood by C/Ses in the past, but I want to straighten those out. did so on Tape 4 I believe, for most of you. I just want to repeat again: If he has not done the Clearing Course to go Clear, then he must look, run, and look over the platens on the Clearing Course on OT II. Not for himself through, but for beings in the composite. Because it is earlier on the track than OT II. Do you understand? If he doesn't do that he could still have a composite case glued together by the pictures and items of the Clearing Course Implant. He can maybe break loose a few from the OT II pack materials, but he didn't break loose this earlier one on the track, which is at one to one and a quarter, one and a half quadrillion. It was used quite often. So if he didn't break that he is going to have trouble on III, you see? He is not gonna be able to get things back through to incident one, because this bunch of A = A pictures is stopping him. So you must break the Clearing Course composite. It is earlier than the OT II composite, so it also makes any being who is stuck in both of them break loose. It breaks loose the earlier similar of the OT II picture-composite. Therefore, it will make it easier to run the OT II-one. That is only if he did not run the Clearing Course platens when he went Clear. he didn't run them before, then he must run them on the composite at the right date, pushing them back the track till that right date, like he's auditing a bunch of people in an auditorium and running them through those items till a persistent E/N. That 's what he must do, and then he goes onto the OT II-ones, and he does those the same way”*

| No | WIS | 1970 | Today |
|----|-----|------------------|---|
| | | | contain LRHs C/S-ing for OT III. These tapes are intensely studied in the CoS and RONS, however, there's always subconscious censoring: "This isn't done this way anymore today, it's historic." And nobody notices that exactly this "it's old" filter from the KSW-series was being attacked by LRH. The story repeats itself. Understand what Ron meant when he stated the price of freedom: „constant alertnes and the willingness to fight back.“ Whoever does not pay the price and ignores all outpoints and pieces of proof mentioned in this FSB, does not deserve freedom yet. |
| - | 62f | - | NED for OT's a squirrel product by David Mayo ⁴⁴ . Since Jan. 1984, it has been renamed to New OT IV (drug handling) and New OT V (handling of body troubles) in order to get rid of the original OT IV and OT V. Renumbering. Turn one into two. |
| - | - | - | Solo-Nots. In existence since Dec. 1978 as a repair program by David Mayo, however, it was not noted on the bridge at that time. Since Jan. 1984, this has been called the New OT VI (the preparatory course) and New OT VII (the solo auditing) in order to get rid of the original IT VI and OT VII. Renumbering. Turn one into two. |
| 21 | 62f | OT VII Processes | This level has not existed in the Church since 1984. An intention-booster (see below) The rest of the bridge, including this level, does not get delivered anymore in the Church. However, the materials are available on the Internet and have been correctly confirmed by old timers. In the 60s and 70s you would get the materials to take home as a solo auditor, you just weren't allowed to just let them lie around, they are “confidential”, not “top secret”. |
| 22 | 64f | OT III Expanded | This level has not existed in the Church and RONS since 1984. |
| 23 | 64f | OT IV | This level does not exist anymore in the Church and RONS. Jan. 1968 – 1984: "Mocking-up and unmocking implants from Clearing Course in order to prevent future implanting plus the handling and rehabilitation of past auditing. Product was supposed to be an OT Exterior." |

⁴⁴ See FSB 20060808 DIE BÖSARTIGE ROLLE VON DAVID MAYO.pdf and FSB 20050325 How THE SQUIRREL TECH HAS BEEN MADE ACCEPTABLE: NOTS REVEALED.pdf

| No | WIS | 1970 | Today |
|----|-----|--------------------|---|
| 24 | 64f | OT V | This level does not exist anymore in the Church and RONS. Jan 1968 – 1984: "Series of drills directed at Pre-OT's handling of and relationship to MEST. Drills were to be done exterior." |
| 25 | 64f | OT VI | This level does not exist anymore in the Church and FZ. Jan 1968 – 1984: "Drills dealing with exteriorization, emotions, and sensations. Drills were supposed to be done exterior." |
| 26 | 64f | OT VII | This level does not exist anymore in the Church and FZ. Existed from Sep. 1970 – 1984: "Series of processes, drills, and training steps directed at intention." |
| 27 | 64f | OT VIII and higher | OT VIII seems to have never been written down by LRH. After his death, the former "successor" Pat Broeker was busy writing OT VIII to OT X himself. Later on, he was chased away by Miscavige. Since then, Ray Mithoff has been inventing levels and has only failed so far with the two attempts at OT VIII ⁴⁵ . Also see the entry about OT VIII on the training page on page 18. |
| - | 64f | - | Since RTS is neither able nor willing to deliver OT IX and higher, they present requirements that cannot be fulfilled (for example that all Orgs must reach the size of St. Hill). They don't even claim that these requirements were to be arranged by LRH and noone dares to name it as outpost: "Who has the right to pose absurd conditions for delivery of OT levels which were given to humanity by Ron?" |

The scope of the changes of the bridge by the CoS is so significant that I can only advise you not to go this way ("I wouldn't even walk this bridge for free"). This does not mean that you

⁴⁵ Quoted from **Criminal Time Track: Issue III** (<http://de.scribd.com/doc/59624915/Scientology-Criminal-Time-Track-Issue-III-by-Mike-Mcclaughry>), Jesse refers to Jesse Prince, used to be the second man in the RTC right after COB David Miscavige (see http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Religious_Technology_Center#Organizational_structure_and_management):

"Jesse says that Ray Mithoff wrote OT 8 from scraps of paper containing little notes, it was a compilation process. And yet the church issued OT 8 as if it was authored by LRH, that this was his work. The initials at the end of the COB are LRH/RM and whoever the typist was, just like the NOTS materials.

The church has delivered different versions of OT 8.

Ray Mithoff was the one who wrote the original OT VIII supposedly from LRH notes. Pat Broeker was also involved in writing it. DM said he had to see it because he was Issue Authority. (Criminal Time Track: Issue III, (38))

Then DM told Jesse Prince that what was in there was going to blow peoples minds. It was not a happy thing. He said I don't know what is going to happen when we put this out. He was hesitant. He did not want to do it.

In the first 3 and a half months they delivered the first version of OT VIII. One of the people who did the original OT VIII was a man from Europe. He said fuck Scientology and left the church. A lot of people were horribly upset and complained about it.

Jesse had a conversation with DM about it. It was like damn it, we knew it before we released it and now it has happened. So, DM and Mithoff secretly revised it and removed the part that was upsetting people and the new version came out.

This is what is currently being done within Scientology, where Hubbard material is being altered, to delete and/or hide from public view anything that might prove to be embarrassing. At the same time the originals are kept from public view."

cannot have occasional major wins here and there. But the entire architecture is made in a such a way to lure the person into a trap rather than letting her/him out. See my FSB June 18, 2006 HOW A BLACK BRIDGE IS BUILT. The same thing goes for the bridge of Ron's Orgs since it is based on the materials from the late 70s that have already been fundamentally squirreled by the RTC founder David Mayo. It says a lot in and of itself that today's Church sticks to the changes by this man even though he was denounced as a bad Squirrel. So this animosity is just an appearance. At the splitting of Scientology in 1982, it was indeed necessary to put each half under the control of government agents. Otherwise the splitting into CoS and Freezezone would have caused a loss of control for the government and not the desired "divide and rule".

Stop letting yourself get screwed over! Use the original bridge from LRH!

Andreas Gross

for the

Independent Scientologists

Copyright © 2002-2015 by Dipl.-Ing. Andreas Gross, All Rights reserved
